

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/336,687	06/21/1999	KEN'ETSU YOKOGAWA	500.37328X00	7949
20457	7590 05/28/2004		EXAM	IINER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L	
	H SEVENTEENTH STR	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1800				
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		1763	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• •
_	09/336,687	YOKOGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
*	Luz L. Alejandro	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant or the period for reply will.	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statute. Cause the application to become adule.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 April 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und			S
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 67-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 67-72 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	·4\
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	prection is required if the drawline Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	(u).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received ir priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		(0.7.0.1.10)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, D N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
110.0		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/12/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 69 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 69-line 2, claim 71-lines 1-2, and claim 72-lines 4-5 recite the limitation "the ring-shaped member". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1763

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 67 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokogawa et al., JP 9-321031 (machine translation) in view of Gupta et al., U.S. Patent 5.902,494.

Yokogawa et al. shows the invention substantially as claimed including a plasma etching apparatus comprising: a vacuum chamber 101 including vacuum generating means (see figure 1 and paragraph 0017); a sample stage 111 installed in the vacuum chamber for holding a sample thereon; a planar plate 107 disposed in parallel with the sample stage in the vacuum chamber; means for generating plasma in a space between the sample stage and the planar plate including electromagnetic wave supply means 104 and magnetic field generating means 102; a first power source 116 for applying a bias to the power plate; a second power source 112 for applying a bias to the sample stage; gas supply means 116 for supplying a source material gas into the

Art Unit: 1763

plasma generated in the vacuum chamber, and wherein a distance between the planar plate and the sample held on the sample stage is spaced in a range from 30 mm to one half of the smaller of one of a diameter of the sample and a diameter of the planar plate (see paragraph 0021).

Yokogawa et al. fails to expressly disclose wherein the planar plate includes a plurality of holes, and the source material gas is supplied through the plurality of holes. Gupta et al. discloses a plasma apparatus in which the planar plate 11 is a gas manifold through which the gas is introduced into the processing chamber (see figure 1 and col. 4-lines 29-34). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Yokogawa et al. by introducing the gas material through holes in the planar plates as disclosed by Gupta et al. because such gas inlet configuration is well known and used in the art and suitable for the intended purpose of uniformly dispersing the gas material to the chamber.

With respect to claim 70, note that Yokogawa et al. discloses that the electromagnetic wave supply means to generate the plasma provides a frequency from 300-500 MHz (see paragraph 0017).

Claim 71 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokogawa et al., JP 9-321031 (machine translation) in view of Gupta et al., U.S. Patent 5,902,494 as applied to claims 67 and 70 above, and further in view of Kaji et al., EP 0793254 A2.

Art Unit: 1763

Yokogawa et al. and Gupta et al. are applied as above but do not expressly disclose a ring-shaped member made of one of the claimed materials of silicon, carbon, silicon carbide, quartz, aluminum oxide, and aluminum. Kaji et al. discloses a ring-shaped member 37 composed of silicon or silicon carbide and connected to a high frequency power source 17A (see fig. 14 and page 15-line 9 to page 16-line 11). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Yokogawa et al. modified by Gupta et al. so as to further comprise a ring-shaped member of the claimed materials as taught by Kaji et al. because this allows for an increased plasma density and reducing of deposits on the ring-shaped member (see page 15, lines 9-27) which provides better process results within the apparatus.

Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokogawa et al., JP 9-321031 (machine translation) in view of Gupta et al., U.S. Patent 5,902,494 as applied to claims 67 and 70 above, and further in view of Collins et al., U.S. Patent 6,054,013 or Toshihisa et al., JP 07-310187 or Collins et al., U.S. Patent 6,074,512.

Yokogawa et al. and Gupta et al. are applied as above but do not expressly disclose a ring-shaped member including means for control of the temperature of the ring-shaped member. Toshihisa et al. discloses a plasma etching system including a protective ring-shaped member 6 disposed in a periphery of the sample, and means 19 for controlling the temperature of the ring-shaped member (see abstract, figs.1-2 and

Art Unit: 1763

paragraphs 0006-0010 of the machine translation). Collins et al. '013 also discloses a plasma system including a ring-shaped member 1050 disposed in a periphery of the sample, and means 2170/2175 for controlling the temperature of the ring-shaped member disposed below a surface of the ring-shaped member (see, for example, fig. 48A and col. 32-lines 55-60). Collins et al. '512, also discloses a plasma system including a ring-shaped member 62 disposed in a periphery of the sample, and means 77 for controlling the temperature of the ring-shaped member disposed below a surface of the ring-shaped member (see, for example, fig. 4A and col. 12-line 40 to col. 13-line 8). Therefore, in view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Yokogawa et al. modified by Gupta et al. so as to further comprise a ring-shaped member and means for controlling the temperature of the ring-shaped member because the ring-shaped member provides protection and confines plasma from escaping from the processing region and control of the temperature of the ring-shaped member improves precise control and reproduction characteristics of the plasma treatment by maintaining the ring-shaped member at a sufficient temperature in order to maintain the ring-shaped member at a sufficient temperature to ensure its favorable participation in the plasma process.

Claims 68 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokogawa et al., JP 9-321031 (machine translation) in view of Gupta et al., U.S. Patent 5,902,494 and Kaji et al., EP 0793254 as applied to claim 71 above, and further

Art Unit: 1763

in view of Sakamoto et al., U.S. Patent 5,698,062 or Danek et al., U.S. Patent 6,155,198.

Yokogawa et al., Gupta et al., and Kaji et al. are applied as above but do not expressly disclose connecting the power source to the ring-shaped member where the power is divided from the second power source into one part for the sample stage and another part for the ring-shaped member. Sakamoto et al. discloses a plasma processing reactor in which a RF power supply 142 has a first RF signal output coupled to a first electrode and a second RF signal output coupled to a second electrode (see, for example, fig. 10 and col. 1-lines 43-52, col. 2-lines 3-5, and col. 9-line 35 to col. 10line 10). Additionally, Danek et al. discloses a plasma processing reactor in which a RF power supply 142 has a first RF signal output coupled to a first electrode and a second RF signal output coupled to a second electrode (see, for example, figs. 3, 4a-4c and col. 5-line 58 to col. 7-line 13). In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Yokogawa et al. modified by Gupta et al. and Kaji et al. so as to divide the power from the second power source into one part for the first electrode (sample stage) and another part for the second electrode (ring-shaped member) in order to avoid difficulty in controlling plasma due to two radio frequency oscillators interfering with one another and their waveforms being distorted, and in order to make the apparatus simpler in structure and minimize its size.

Art Unit: 1763

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 67-72 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 571-272-1430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 571-272-1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Luz L. Alejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

May 25, 2004